NATIONAL JUDICIAL ACADEMY

P-1098: National Judicial Conference for High Court Justices $4^{th} - 6^{th}$ May, 2018

Programme Coordinator : Mr. Shivaraj S Huchhanavar, Faculty, NJA, Bhopal

No. of Participants : 17 No. of forms received : 12

| I. OVERALL | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------|--|
| PROPOSITIONS | To a great extent | To some extent | Not at all | Remarks | |
| a. The objective of the Program was clear to me | 83.33 | 16.67 | - | - | |
| b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work | 58.33 | 41.67 | - | - | |
| c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program | 66.67 | 33.33 | - | - | |
| d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work | 91.67 | 8.33 | - | - | |
| e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences | 100.00 | - | - | - | |
| II. KNOWLEDGE | | | | | |
| PROPOSITIONS | To a great extent | To some extent | Not at all | Remarks | |
| The program provided | l knowledge (or provided l | l inks / references to known | l wledge) which is: | | |
| a. Useful to my work | 72.73 | 27.27 | - | - | |
| b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists) | 75.00 | 25.00 | - | - | |

| c. Up to date | 91.67 | 8.33 | - | - | |
|--|--|------------------------------------|--|---------------------|--|
| d. Related to constitutional vision of justice | 91.67 | 8.33 | - | - | |
| e. Related to international legal norms | 27.27 | 63.64 | 9.09 | | |
| | III. STRUCT | URE OF THE PROG | RAM | | |
| PROPOSITIONS | Good | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory | Remarks | |
| a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical | 75.00 | 25.00 | - | - | |
| b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz. | | | | | |
| (i) Interactive sessions were fruitful | 83.33 | 16.67 | | - | |
| (ii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial | 33.33 | 66.67 | | - | |
| | (To be modifi | ied as per the sessions plann | ed) | | |
| | IV SESSI | ONS WISE VETTING | G | | |
| | | Parameters | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Cognica | Discussions in indivi effectively | | The Session theme v addressed by the Re | | |
| Session | | | | | |
| Session 1 | effectively | organized | addressed by the Re | source Persons | |
| | Effective and Useful | Satisfactory | addressed by the Re | Satisfactory | |
| 1 | Effective and Useful 100.00 | Satisfactory | addressed by the Research Effective and Useful 83.33 | Satisfactory | |
| 2 | Effective and Useful 100.00 100.00 | Satisfactory | Effective and Useful 83.33 100.00 | Satisfactory | |
| 2 3 | Effective and Useful 100.00 100.00 77.78 | Satisfactory | addressed by the Research Effective and Useful 83.33 100.00 | Satisfactory | |
| 1 2 3 4 | Effective and Useful 100.00 100.00 77.78 100.00 | Satisfactory 22.22 | addressed by the Research Effective and Useful 83.33 100.00 100.00 | Satisfactory | |
| 1 2 3 4 5 | effectively of Effective and Useful 100.00 100.00 77.78 100.00 100.00 100.00 | Satisfactory 22,22 - | 83.33 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 | Satisfactory 16.67 | |
| 1 2 3 4 5 | effectively of Effective and Useful 100.00 100.00 77.78 100.00 100.00 100.00 | Satisfactory 22.22 | 83.33 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 | Satisfactory 16.67 | |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 | effectively of Effective and Useful 100.00 100.00 77.78 100.00 100.00 V. PRO | Satisfactory 22.22 GRAM MATERIALS | addressed by the Res Effective and Useful 83.33 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 | Satisfactory 16.67 | |

case laws/ current

| | thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area | | | | |
|----|---|-------|-------|---|---|
| c. | The content was organized and easy to follow | 90.00 | 10.00 | - | - |

| VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| 1. Three most important | 1. New and useful information. | | |
| learning achievements of this Programme | 2. All the programme were analytical and hope to apply it to cases handled. | | |
| | 3. Participant did not comment. | | |
| | 4. 1.Limits & scope of judicial review; 2. PML Act; 3. Commission of corporate frauds. | | |
| | 5. 1. Vast learning given in a capsule. Different perceptions under one roof. Interaction with participants. | | |
| | 6. 1. Got up dated about PML Act; 2. Had good discussion on constitutional matters of judicial review; 3. By interaction several confusions were cleared. | | |
| | 7. The in depth study into the topics, the interaction involved and the overall review. | | |
| | 8. Participant did not comment. | | |
| | 9. The exposure to knowledge and enlightenment of spirit to improve. | | |
| | 10. Experience; Learning; Informative. | | |
| | 11. Judicial review & PMLA; A detailed and interactive session I feel benefitted us. | | |
| | 12. 1. Constitutional law; 2. Judicial review; 3. Money laundering. | | |
| 2. Which part of the | 1. Judicial review by Sr. Advocate Shri Arvind P. Datar. | | |
| Programme did you find most useful and why | 2. Session 4: Contemporary Challenges for Judicial Review, Policing Governance within Separation of Powers Framework; Session 5: Construing the Sounds of Constitution's Speech: Meanings Beyond Text. | | |
| | 3. Participant did not comment. | | |
| | 4. Session 2: Free and Fair Elections –Vitalizing our Democratic Fabric: The Way Forward; Session 4: Contemporary Challenges for Judicial Review, Policing Governance within Separation of Powers Framework; Session 5: Construing the Sounds of Constitution's Speech: Meanings Beyond Text; Session 7: Corporate Fraud and Manipulation: Repercussions, Deterrent Mechanisms and Judicial Approach; Session 8: Money Laundering: The Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002: Origins and Evolution. | | |
| | 5. All parts were reasonably satisfactory. | | |
| | 6. Programme on judicial review. It is very relevant for day to day work in High Court to maintain the supremacy of constitution. | | |

- 7. **Session 1:** High Courts: Guardians of District Judiciary; **Session 4:** Contemporary Challenges for Judicial Review, Policing Governance within Separation of Powers Framework; **Session 5:** Construing the Sounds of Constitution's Speech: Meanings Beyond Text; and **Session 6:** Superior Courts: Managing Judicial Review within the Democratic Framework- as the same was on an in depth study of the constitution.
- 8. Participant did not comment.
- 9. The sessions of Mr. A.P. Datar, Mr. Sujit Gosh and Dr. M.S. Sahoo.
- 10. **Session 1:** High Courts: Guardians of District Judiciary; **Session 4:** Contemporary Challenges for Judicial Review, Policing Governance within Separation of Powers Framework; **Session 5:** Construing the Sounds of Constitution's Speech: Meanings Beyond Text.
- 11. Judicial review & PMLA; A detailed and interactive session I feel benefitted us.
- 12. Judicial review.
- 3. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why
- 1. Not as such.
- 2. **Session 3:** The session could have been more organized.
- 3. Participant did not comment.
- 4. **Session 1:** High Courts: Guardians of District Judiciary.
- 5. No part.
- 6. All the programme were useful.
- 7. None.
- 8. Participant did not comment.
- 9. **Session 6:** Superior Courts: Managing Judicial Review within the Democratic Framework.
- 10. None
- 11. Participant did not comment.
- 12. Elections issues.
- 4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective
- 1. Overall very good. If I may say, I would only say, protocol department may show some more sensitivity to judges arriving at Academy by Road in the wee hours. I must inform that I had to come to Academy by Road not by choice but out of compulsion, from Nagpur because.
- 2. Should provide more time for interactive sessions and notes may be provided by the speakers at least in bullets.
- 3. Participant did not comment.
- 4. Some sessions are repetitive, will benefit more if number of resource person/speaker in increased.
- 5. Nothing specific at present, but I will think about and write a letter if necessary.

- 6. To arrange such programme on relevant issues at regular intervals with interactive sessions.
- 7. None.
- 8. Participant did not comment.
- 9. Record the programme over if delegates want supply the copy.
- 10. Participant did not comment.
- 11. Less overlapping of themes/topics; Programme on child rights, enforcement of foreign awards, detailed discussion on IBC, mediation, conciliation, IPR with international participation.
- 12. More programmes and discussion relating to the roaster of the bench relating to High Court's writ jurisdiction.